

A Generalization of the Motzkin-Taussky Theorem

Shmuel Friedland*

Department of Mathematics
University of Wisconsin—Madison
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

Submitted by Hans Schneider

ABSTRACT

In this paper we generalize the Motzkin-Taussky theorem to matrices with polynomial entries.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A_0, A_1 be $n \times n$ complex valued matrices. Denote

$$A(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha A_0 + \beta A_1. \quad (1.1)$$

A remarkable theorem of Motzkin and Taussky [4] asserts that if the pencil $A(\alpha, \beta)$ is diagonalizable for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ [all $A(\alpha, \beta)$ similar to a diagonal matrix], then there exists a nonsingular matrix P such that

$$P^{-1}A(\alpha, \beta)P = \alpha D_0 + \beta D_1, \quad (1.2)$$

where D_0, D_1 are diagonal matrices. This in particular implies that the eigenvalues of $A(\alpha, \beta)$ are linear in α, β —i.e., $A(\alpha, \beta)$ possess the L -property. The L -property of the pencil $A(\alpha, \beta)$ follows from a milder assumption, namely, that $A(\alpha, \beta)$ is diagonalizable except when α/β is a given complex number on the Riemann sphere [for example, it suffices to assume that $A(\alpha, 1)$ is diagonalizable for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$]. The original proof of the Motzkin-Taussky theorem relies heavily on algebraic geometry. In [1, II, 2.5] Kato gives a different proof of these results based upon the theory of complex functions in one variable. In [2] the results of Motzkin and Taussky were used as follows:

COROLLARY 1.3. *Consider a pencil $A(\alpha, \beta)$ when A_0 and B_0 are noncommuting diagonalizable matrices. Then there exists a complex number α_0 such that*

*Also with University of Wisconsin—Milwaukee.

Current Address: Institute of Mathematics, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel.

$A(\alpha_0, 1)$ is not diagonalizable. If A_0 and A_1 are noncommuting hermitian matrices, then there exist two distinct points α_0, α_1 such that $A(\alpha_j, 1)$ is not diagonalizable for $j=0, 1$.

To deduce the second part of Corollary 1.3 one needs to combine the theorems mentioned above with an earlier result of Motzkin and Taussky [3] which claims that the L -property of $A(\alpha, \beta)$ for hermitian A_0, A_1 implies (1.2).

The numbers α_0 for which $A(\alpha_0, 1)$ is not diagonalizable have physical significance in the theory of atomic autoionization resonances [2]. In what follows we generalize the first theorem of Motzkin and Taussky mentioned here to polynomials

$$A(z) = \sum_{k=0}^m z^k A_k. \quad (1.4)$$

2. THE RESULT

As usual, let $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ denote the $n \times n$ complex valued matrices. Consider the polynomial $A(z)$ (1.4) where $A_k \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, $k=0, \dots, m$. Let

$$p(\lambda, z) = |\lambda I - A(z)| = \lambda^n + \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(z) \lambda^{n-j}. \quad (2.1)$$

Clearly $a_j(z)$ is a polynomial in z for $j=1, \dots, n$. Thus the eigenvalues $\lambda_1(z), \dots, \lambda_n(z)$ are algebraic functions of z which satisfy

$$p(\lambda, z) = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

So for any $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ one has the Puiseux series

$$\lambda_j(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{jk} (z - z_0)^{k/s}, \quad j=1, \dots, n, \quad (2.3)$$

for some integer s which can be chosen independently of z_0 . Around $z_0 = \infty$ one has the expansion

$$\lambda_j(z) = z^m \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{jk} z^{-k/s}. \quad (2.4)$$

See for example [1, II, 1.2].

DEFINITION 2.5. Let $\lambda_p(z)$ and $\lambda_q(z)$ be two distinct eigenvalues of $A(z)$ such that $\lambda_p(z) \not\equiv \lambda_q(z)$ in the neighborhood of z_0 . The eigenvalues $\lambda_p(z)$ and $\lambda_q(z)$ are said to touch at z_0 if

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{\lambda_p(z) - \lambda_q(z)}{z - z_0} = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

for a finite z_0 and

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_p(z) - \lambda_q(z)}{z^{m-1}} = 0 \quad (2.7)$$

if $z_0 = \infty$, where A_m appearing in (1.4) is not a zero matrix.

THEOREM 2.8. Let $A(z)$ be an $n \times n$ matrix polynomial of the form (1.4). Assume that $A(z)$ is a diagonalizable matrix for each finite z , and assume furthermore that A_m is a nonzero diagonalizable matrix. Then either there exists z_0 (finite or infinite) and two distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_p(z)$ and $\lambda_q(z)$ which touch at z_0 , or there exists a nonsingular matrix $P \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$P^{-1}A(z)P = \sum_{k=0}^m z^k D_k, \quad (2.9)$$

where D_0, \dots, D_m are diagonal matrices.

Proof. The matrix $A(z)$ has l distinct eigenvalues $\mu_1(z), \dots, \mu_l(z)$, such that $\mu_k(z)$ has multiplicity n_k , $k = 1, \dots, l$. That is, for all values of z_0 except a finite number of points ξ_1, \dots, ξ_r (one of these points may be infinite), $A(z_0) \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ has exactly l distinct eigenvalues $\mu_1(z_0), \dots, \mu_l(z_0)$. Suppose first that $z \neq \xi_j$, $j = 1, \dots, r$. Let $P_j(z)$ be the projection of $A(z)$ on $\mu_j(z)$. That is,

$$P_j(z) = \prod_{k=1, k \neq j}^l \frac{A(z) - \mu_k(z)I}{\mu_j(z) - \mu_k(z)}. \quad (2.10)$$

Since $\mu_k(z)$, $k = 1, \dots, l$ are s -multivalued (at most) analytic functions in z , $P_j(z)$ is at most an s -multivalued analytic function of z for $z \neq \xi_j$, $j = 1, \dots, r$. Suppose that no two distinct eigenvalues of $A(z)$ touch at any point of the Riemann sphere. We claim that $P_j(z)$ is a bounded s -multivalued (at most)

analytic function on the Riemann sphere. Indeed, assume for simplicity of notation that $j=1$ and for some finite ζ

$$\begin{aligned}\eta &= \mu_1(\zeta) = \mu_2(\zeta) = \cdots = \mu_u(\zeta), \\ \mu_k(\zeta) &\neq \mu_1(\zeta), \quad k = u+1, \dots, l.\end{aligned}\quad (2.11)$$

It is well known (e.g. [1, II, 1.4] or [5, VII, Sec. 25]) that there exists an analytic matrix $Q(z)$ in the neighborhood of $\zeta - U_\zeta$ such that $Q^{-1}(z)$ is also analytic for $z \in U_\zeta$ and

$$Q^{-1}(z)A(z)Q(z) = B_1(z) \oplus B_2(z), \quad (2.12)$$

where $B_1(z)$ is $m_1 \times m_1$ and $B_2(z)$ is $m_2 \times m_2$, with

$$m_1 = \sum_{i=1}^u n_i, \quad m_2 = \sum_{i=u+1}^l n_i. \quad (2.13)$$

Moreover the eigenvalues of $B_1(z)$ and $B_2(z)$ are $\mu_1(z), \dots, \mu_u(z)$ and $\mu_{u+1}(z), \dots, \mu_l(z)$ with the multiplicities n_1, \dots, n_u and n_{u+1}, \dots, n_l respectively in U_ζ . Since $A(z)$ is diagonalizable for each z , $B_1(z)$ and $B_2(z)$ are diagonalizable in U_ζ . So

$$\prod_{k=u+1}^l [B_2(z) - \mu_k(z)I] = 0,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}& \prod_{k=2}^l \frac{A(z) - \mu_k(z)I}{\mu_1(z) - \mu_k(z)} \\ &= Q(z) \left\{ \prod_{k=2}^l \frac{B_1(z) - \mu_k(z)I}{\mu_1(z) - \mu_k(z)} \oplus 0 \right\} Q^{-1}(z). \quad (2.14)\end{aligned}$$

The assumption (2.11) and the diagonalizability of $B_1(z)$ imply

$$B_1(z) = \eta I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (z - \zeta)^k B_k^{(1)}. \quad (2.15)$$

According to Kato [1, II, 2.3] each $\mu_j(z)$ has the Puiseux expansion

$$\mu_j(z) = \eta + \eta_j(z - \zeta) + \sum_{k=s+1}^{\infty} \mu_{jk}(z - \zeta)^{k/s}, \quad j = 1, \dots, u. \quad (2.16)$$

As $\mu_1(z)$ and $\mu_j(z)$ do not touch at ζ , we deduce

$$\eta_1 \neq \eta_j, \quad j = 2, \dots, u. \quad (2.17)$$

So

$$\frac{B_1(z) - \mu_j(z)I}{\mu_1(z) - \mu_j(z)} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (z - \zeta)^{k-1} B_k^{(1)} - \sum_{k=s+1}^{\infty} \mu_{jk}(z - \zeta)^{(k-s)/s} I}{\eta_1 - \eta_j + \sum_{k=s+1}^{\infty} (\mu_{1k} - \mu_{jk})(z - \zeta)^{(k-s)/s}} \quad j = 2, \dots, u. \quad (2.18)$$

Also $[\mu_1(z) - \mu_j(z)]^{-1}$ are analytic in $(z - \zeta)^{1/s}$ in U_{ζ} for $j > u$. Combine (2.14) and (2.18) to deduce that $P_1(z)$ is analytic in $(z - \zeta)^{1/s}$ in U_{ζ} . Assume that $\zeta = \infty$, let $\tilde{A}(y) = y^m A(1/y)$, and since A_m is diagonalizable, transform the point $\zeta = \infty$ to $\zeta = 0$, so that we can apply the above analysis to deduce that $P_1(z)$ is analytic in $z^{1/s}$ in the neighborhood of ∞ . This in particular implies that $P_1(z)$ is bounded on the Riemann sphere. Put $P_1(z) = (p_{ij}^{(1)}(z))_1^n$. Let $\max |p_{ij}^{(1)}(z)| = |p_{ij}^{(1)}(\zeta_{ij})|$ (ζ_{ij} may be ∞). As $p_{ij}^{(1)}(\zeta_{ij} + w^s)$ is analytic in w , the maximum principle implies that $p_{ij}^{(1)}(\zeta_{ij} + w^s)$ is constant in the neighborhood of the origin (e.g., [1, II, 2.5]). Now the analytic continuation principle yields that $p_{ij}^{(1)}(z)$ is constant. Thus $P_1 = P_1(z)$ is a constant matrix. The same argument implies that the projections P_2, \dots, P_l on the eigenvalues $\mu_2(z), \dots, \mu_l(z)$ are constant. To this end let

$$P_i \mathbb{C} = \text{Span} \{y_1^i, \dots, y_{n_i}^i\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, l,$$

$$P = (y_1^1, \dots, y_{n_1}^1, \dots, y_1^l, \dots, y_{n_l}^l) \in M_n(\mathbb{C}). \quad (2.19)$$

Take a generic point ζ such that

$$\mu_i(\zeta) \neq \mu_j(\zeta) \quad \text{for } i \neq j, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, l. \quad (2.20)$$

So P_i is the projection on $\mu_i(z)$, $z \in U_\zeta$. Thus in this neighborhood

$$P^{-1}A(z)P = \text{diag}(\lambda_1(z), \dots, \lambda_n(z)).$$

As the off-diagonal entries of $P^{-1}A(z)P$ vanish in U_ζ , they must vanish identically, since $P^{-1}A(z)P$ is a polynomial matrix. This establishes (2.9). The proof of the theorem is concluded. ■

The example

$$A(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + z \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + z^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.21)$$

shows that the first possibility mentioned in Theorem 2.8 may actually happen.

COROLLARY 2.22. *Consider the polynomial (1.4) where A_0, \dots, A_m are $n \times n$ diagonalizable matrices such that*

$$A_p A_q \neq A_q A_p$$

for some $0 \leq p < q \leq m$. Then either there exists z_0 (possibly infinite) such that two distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_p(z)$ and $\lambda_q(z)$ touch at this point, or there exists a finite point z_0 such that $A(z_0)$ is not diagonalizable.

Finally, it is left to point out that if $m = 1$, i.e. the pencil $A(z)$ is linear, then the first possibility mentioned in Theorem 2.8 is ruled out. This follows from the fact [4] that in this case the assumption that $A(z)$ is diagonalizable for any finite z implies that the eigenvalues of $A(z)$ are linear in z . [Following Kato, one notices that the derivative of the multivalued function $\lambda_j(z)$ is bounded on the Riemann sphere and thus $\lambda'_j(z) = \eta_j$, $j = 1, \dots, l$.] Therefore if $\lambda_p(z)$ and $\lambda_q(z)$ touch at z_0 , then $\lambda_p(z) \equiv \lambda_q(z)$.

REFERENCES

1. T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, 2nd ed., Springer, New York, 1976.
2. N. Moiseyev and S. Friedland, The association of resonance states with incomplete spectrum of finite complex-scaled Hamiltonian matrices, *Phys. Rev. A*, 22:618–624 (1980).

- 3 T. S. Motzkin and O. Taussky, Pairs of matrices with property L , *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 73:108–114 (1952).
- 4 _____, Pairs of matrices with property L . II, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 80:387–401 (1955).
- 5 W. Wasow, *Asymptotic Expansions for Ordinary Differential Equations*, 2nd ed., R. E. Krieger, Huntington, N.Y., 1976.

Received 18 February 1980; revised 15 April 1980